Currents of Change

2014 National Title I Conference
Planning Committee

June 24, 2013

Today's Committee Decisions

* Review, discuss and finalize potential Keynote speakers

* Proposal grading process and status

* Topic: Arts/ Creativity

Inocente (4 yes, o no)

Robert Root-Bernstein (3 yes, o no)

Sir Ken Robinson (3 yes, o no)

Milton Chen (2 yes, o no)

Natalie Merchant (2 yes, 2 no)

Jim Stigler (1 yes, 1 no)

* Topic: Changing Demographics / English Language Learners

John Hodge (4 yes, o no)

Michelle Rhee (4 yes, 1 no)

Rita Pierson (4 yes, 2 no)

Jennifer James (3 yes, 1 no)

* Topic: Family Involvement / Student Support

David Eggers (5 yes, 1 no)

Erin Gruell (4 yes, o no)

Wes Moore (4 yes, o no)

Alex Russell (2 yes, 2 no)

Jonah Edelman (2 yes, 2 no)

* Topic: Cultural Competence / Understanding and Respect

Jeffrey Charbonneau (5 yes, o no)

Pedro Noguera (5 yes, 1 no)

Jeff Henderson (1 yes, 0 no)

Manual Scott (1 yes, 2 no)

*Proposal Statistics

2013

455 Proposals Submitted 82 Proposals Selected 18% of submitted

2014

477 Proposal Submitted 85 Proposals to be Selected 18% of submitted



Hello, Lisa.

Your Graded Proposals - 1 Graded

Proposal Title

PB3160 California's Quality Schooling Framework

Grade a Proposal

Exit Grader

Proposal

ID PB3160

Conference Theme Instruction

Session Categories College Readiness, School Improvement

Audience District Leaders

Session Type Successful Practices

Title California's Quality Schooling Framework

Description This session provides information about the California Department of Education's Quality Schooling Framework (QSF). The QSF is a conceptual model for describing, gauging, and supporting a school's effectiveness. Rather than an underlying rationale for a checklist or set of mandates, it is a holistic model that connects critical dimensions of quality schools to help educational leaders figure out what is or is not working to support student success in their schools. It provides practical research and evidence-based practices to support planning and implementation of strategies to strengthen the human, fiscal, and organizational environment of a school. The QSF exists as an online tool that may be used by educational leaders to support effective school and district planning. This session offers a state level perspective on how research and resources can be brought together to support effective schooling.

Relevance The QSF is a readily-available and well-researched tool that can be accessed online and is immediately relevant to any school, whether identified as high-performing, low-performing, or somewhere in between. It supports the conference theme of change through its emphasis on implementation.

Research Base The QSF was developed based on effective schools research. A brief narrative for each component of the QSF includes research references.

Other Proposals PB38D2: Reflecting on School Improvement Grants: California's Experience Improving Schools (Submitted)

Presenter #1

Jannelle Kubinec, Director of National, State, and Special Projects, WestEd, Sacramento, CA

Over 20 years experience in education research and policy including indepth work in area of school improvement.

Recent Experience

Association of Education Finance and Policy (3/2013), Influencing Education Policy and Practice Through Grants

CA Assoc. of Administrators of State and Federal Education Programs (2/2013), keynote and breakout sessions

Regional Education Laboratory West (6/2013), CCSS Infrastructure

Speaking Reference

Russ Frank

Pre		

Christine Swenson, Improvement and Accountability Division Director, California Department of Education, Sacramento, CA

Topic Expertise

Primary point person at the CA Department of Education responsible for development of QSF; oversees State Title I and SIG.

Recent Experience

CA Title I Conference (2012); organizer and facilitator

Speaking Reference

Fred Tempes

ftempes@wested.org

916-492-4039

_				-		
e	ra	М	ına	ı Cr	It e I	12
	ıa	u	II IU			II CA

Proposal includes current, meaningful content	+	
Proposal focuses on a key area of concern for conference attendees	*)
Session type is appropriate for the content as described		
Research base listed is appropriate for the topic area	+)
Presenter has relevant expertise for this topic	+)
Presenter prior presentation experience is adequate	*)
Bonus points – explain in comments below	<u></u>	

Grader Comments

Cancel and Return

Save

All Proposal Grading must be completed by:

End of the Day on Sunday, July 7

Next Steps

- * Next Meeting: July 30 1:00 5:00 PM
- * Grand Hyatt, Washington DC
- * Task:

Proposal Review & Ranking

Materials will be sent the week prior.
Please read and be prepared to discuss.